

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,997	06/11/2004	Mark A. Johanson	22956-302 (MIT-169CON)	3996
	7590 01/13/200 CLENNEN & FISH LL		EXAMINER	
WORLD TRAI	DE CENTER WEST BOULEVARD		WOO, JULIAN W	
BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3773	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2009	ELECTRON1C

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@nutter.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/709,997	JOHANSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Julian W. Woo	3773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period well. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 66(a). In no event, however, may a re fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. Seply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Oc	ctober 2008.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 28</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ .				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	-				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 · · · · ·	formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u>_</u> ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/709,997 Page 2

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 10, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-6 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Simon (5,346,497) in view of Strukel et al. (5,725,495). Simon discloses the invention

substantially as claimed. Simon discloses, at least in figures 1, 2, 4-9 and 13 and in col. 4, line 58 to col. 5, line 42; an apparatus including a sheath (3) including a distal end having a cutting edge (5), a tooth (12) extending towards the inner bore in a direction substantially orthogonal to the cutting edge, and markings on the outer surface (8) that may correspond to an amount of insertion into bone tissue. However, Simon does not disclose a tube with proximal and distal ends and at least one recess or aperture spaced apart from the distal end and extending at least part way from an inner surface of the tube to an outer surface of the tube or beginning a predetermined distance away from the distal end of the tube and running toward the proximal end of the tube. However, Simon does disclose that a "remainder" of an "infusion/aspiration device" is applied within the lumen of the sheath. Strukel et al. teach, at least in figures 20A, 23A, 25A, 26A, and 27A and col. 6, lines 43-56 and col. 7, lines 13-32; an infusion/aspiration device, where the device includes a sheathed tube (16) with proximal and distal ends an a plurality of recesses or apertures (18) each extending from an inner surface of the tube to an outer surface of the tube and running towards the proximal end of the tube and beginning a predetermined distance away from the distal end of the tube. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of Strukel et al., to modify the apparatus of Simon, so that it includes a tube as claimed. Such a tube would allow the efficient aspiration of cut tissue and/or irrigation fluid and even aid in the emulsifying of tissue in, for example, certain types of cataract surgery.

Art Unit: 3773

Simon also does not disclose that the tube has a mating element or handle at its proximal end and that the sheath includes a connector for removable engagement with the mating element. Strukel et al. further teach, at least in figures 28, 29, 51 and 52, that the tube (16) has a mating element (proximal shoulder-like portion of 16) or a handle removably engaged with a sheath (22 or 88), which includes a connector (proximal portion of 22 connected near a shoulder-like portion of 16 or area A of 88). It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify the tube and sheath of Simon, so that they respectively have a mating element and connector as claimed. Such modifications would allow a fluid-tight connection between the tube and sheath and prevent leakage of irrigation fluid from the apparatus and would provide a location for grasping and manipulation of the device.

Note: The introductory statement of intended use ("for harvesting plugs from bone tissue") has been carefully considered but deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims patentably distinguishable over the device of Simon or Simon in view of Strukel et al., which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so. In other words, the recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Art Unit: 3773

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simon (5,346,497) in view of Strukel et al. (5,725,495), and further in view of Torrie et al. (6,358,253). Simon in view of Strukel et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Simon in view of Strukel et al. discloses an apparatus for harvesting tissue, but does not disclose an apparatus including a bone plug formed from articular cartilage and underlying bone tissue. Torrie et al. teach, at least in col. 7, line 39 to col. 8, line 21, an apparatus including a harvested bone plug (87) formed from articular cartilage (86) and underlying bone tissue. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of Torrie et al., to include a bone plug formed from articular cartilage and underlying bone tissue with the apparatus of Simon in view of Strukel et al. Such a bone plug would allow the repair and replacement of bone that is covered with damaged cartilage.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 28 have been considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4707. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern Time, alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 3773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Julian W. Woo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773